

Development Plan Document (DPD)**Submission State Representation Form**

The closing date for submitting representations is 2 August 2007.

You are strongly advised to read the accompanying guidance notes before completing this form. These can be found at http://www.spelthorne.gov.uk/env_dfd_guidance_notes

Is this representation on

Allocations DPD

If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title and Name boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent.

Personal details*

Title	Mr
First name	Jonathan
Last name	Webster
Job title (where relevant)	retired
Organisation (where relevant)	
Address	Faith Cottage The Creek Sunbury on Thames
County	Middlesex
Postcode	TW16 6BY
Telephone number	01932 780229
E-mail address (where relevant)	abjonw@hotmail.com

Agents details (if applicable)

Title	
First name	
Last name	
Job title (where relevant)	
Organisation (where relevant)	
Address	
County	
Postcode	
Telephone number	
E-mail address (where relevant)	

Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the LPA earlier in the process of the preparation of the DPD, ie before it was submitted for examination?

Yes (at issues and alternative options stage)

The annex and the guidance notes have more information on the tests of soundness

http://www.spelthorne.gov.uk/env_idf_annex

Do you consider the DPD is:

Unsound (if you consider the DPD should be changed)

If you consider the DPD is **unsound**, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to (having regard to test numbers 1-9 listed in the Annex). **Please note you should complete a separate form for each test you consider the development plan fails.**

Soundness test no. (see Annex)

TEST 7

Please give details of what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD sound, having regard to the test you have identified above. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD sound. Please be as precise as possible.

In respect of Allocation A8: Riverside Works, Fordbridge Road, Sunbury (P/026/H)
The DPD fails to recognise that the site is artificially raised within the flood plain and as such increases the flood levels considerably seriously affecting the surrounding properties. It is the policy of the Environment Agency to oppose further building in the flood plains and the current trend internationally is to restore areas to effective flood plain wherever possible. This site is prime land for such restoration. It is patently wrong that the Environment Agency should be the deciding authority for future use of land which it, itself, controls. The DPD fails in demonstrating how it can possibly ensure that the fragile environment and homes at the lower level adjacent to this site could possibly be protected if such a development was to be allowed

Can your representation seeking a change be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination (if you select this option you will need to explain why)?

Participate at the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

It is not possible to put the complex case for the protection of the environment simply in writing. It is necessary to produce actual evidence of the effects and, where necessary, to produce expert opinion and scientific evidence. I believe that this cannot be left in the hands of others and that oral evidence would be of immense value to the inspector

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination

Date:

31 Jul 2007