• *SPELTHORNE BOROUGH COUNCIL*

SPELTHORNE BOROUGH COUNCIL

Application for the review of a premises licence or club premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST

Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form.
If you are completing this form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure that your answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink. Use additional sheets if necessary.
You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

I .....
(Insert name of applicant)

apply for the review of a premises licence under section 51 / apply for the review of a club premises certificate under section 87 of the Licensing Act 2003 for the premises described in Part 1 below (delete as applicable)

Part 1 – Premises or club premises details

Postal address of premises or, if none, ordnance survey map reference or description Sunny's Local 63 Clare Road.	
Post town Stanwell	**Post code (if known)** TW19 7QW

Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate (if known) Manmohan Singh Arora

Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known 20/00029/LAPRE

Part 2 - Applicant details

I am

Please tick yes

1) an interested party (please complete (A) or (B) below)

a) a person living in the vicinity of the premises ☐

b) a body representing persons living in the vicinity of the premises ☐

c) a person involved in business in the vicinity of the premises ☐

d) a body representing persons involved in business in the vicinity of the premises ☐

2) a responsible authority (please complete (C) below) ☒

3) a member of the club to which this application relates (please complete (A) below) ☐

(A) DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICANT (fill in as applicable)

Please tick
Mr ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss ☐ Ms ☐ Other title (for example, Rev)

Surname | **First names**

I am 18 years old or over — **Please tick yes** ☐

Current postal address if different from premises address

Post town | **Post Code**

Daytime contact telephone number

E-mail address (optional)

(B) DETAILS OF OTHER APPLICANT

Name and address
Telephone number (if any)
E-mail address (optional)

(C) DETAILS OF RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY APPLICANT

Name and address Surrey Police PO Box 101 Guildford Surrey GU1 9PE
Telephone number (if any)
E-mail address (optional)

This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)

Please tick one or more boxes

1) the prevention of crime and disorder ☒
2) public safety ☐
3) the prevention of public nuisance ☐
4) the protection of children from harm ☒

Please state the ground(s) for review (please read guidance note 1)

Surrey Police, in its role as a Responsible Authority, are applying to review the premises licence for Sunny's Local, 63 Clare Road, Stanwell TW19 7QW on the grounds that management and staff are underminding the licensing objectives of:

Crime and disorder
The protection of children from harm

Sunny's Local is a small convenience store in a parade of shops in Clare Road, Stanwell.

The Premises Licence holder and Designated Premises Supervisor is Mr Manmohan Singh Arora and he has a Personal Licence number 2005-03660-LAPERG issued by London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham.

Please provide as much information as possible to support the application (please read guidance note 2)

Surrey Police have received allegations that this premises is selling alcohol and vapes to underage persons.

Often when Surrey Police have visited the area, there are groups of children hanging around outside the premises.

Surrey Police has carried out three test purchase operations in 2026 at the premise and on each occasion staff at the premises sold alcohol to the underage persons without asking for any ID.

Young people's typically lower body weight and limited ability to process alcohol mean they can become dangerously intoxicated very quickly. This puts young people at increased risk of alcohol poisoning. Alcohol poisoning seriously affects the parts of the brain that control balance and speech, as well as the nerves that control breathing and heartbeat. It can stop their gag reflex from working, putting them at serious risk of chocking to death, especially if they vomit. During childhood and teenage years, the brain and body are still developing. Alcohol even small amounts can affect memory function, reactions, learning ability and attention span. Drinking alcohol can put children and young people in vulnerable or dangerous situations.

Test purchase operations :

(1)
On the 10th January 2026 at approximately 12:30 hours Surrey Police deployed two police cadets on a test purchase operation. One male and one female both aged between 16-18 years old.The cadets were instructed to visit Sunny's Local and attempt to purchase alcohol They were sold alcohol by employee A of the store,

At 12:33 male cadet 1 (aged 16) entered Sunny's Local and selected a Buzzball alcoholic cocktail drink and approached the counter. Behind cadet 1 was a Surrey Police officer in plain clothes. Employee A scanned the Buzzball alcoholic cocktail drink and asked for payment but did not challenge cadet 1 for ID. Cadet 1 paid for the Buzzball alcoholic cocktail drink in cash and left the store with it.

Then at 12:35 on the same day, female cadet 2 (aged 17) entered the store and selected a Gordon's pink gin and tonic can and approached the counter. Again employee A scanned the item and accepted payment in cash without challenging for ID and cadet 2 left the store with the gin and tonic. The same Surrey Police officer in plain clothes was within ear shot of cadet 2 and employee A when cadet 2 was at the counter.

Employee A chose to attend court on the 22nd September 2026 rather than take a Community Resolution.
He pleaded guilty and was found guilty that on the 10/01/2026 at Sunny's Local, 63 Clare Road, Stanwell he sold alcohol to a person under the age of 18, namely cadet 1, aged 17 and cadet 2, aged 16. He received a fine.

A member of Spelthorne Borough council licensing team was also at the test purchase operation on the 10th January 2026.

On the 12th July 2026 we received a further allegation that this premises was still selling alcohol to underage so we arranged to conduct another test purchase.

(2)
On the 21st August 2026 at approximately 17:00 Surrey Police deployed two police cadets on a test purchase operation. Trading Standards were also part of this test purchase operation.

The two Surrey Police cadets were both female and aged 16 years old. The cadets were instructed to visit Sunny's Local, and attempt to purchase alcohol. They were sold alcohol by employee B of the store.

The two police cadets selcted a can of Mango Mohito and Smifnoff vodka cranberry. They placed the drinks on the counter in front of employee B. He then scanned the items and stated the price. He did not ask the age of either of the police cadets or ask for any proof of ID. Cadet 1 handed the money over and they both proceeded to head towards the only shop's door. As soon as they turned to walk out of the door employee B shouted to them 'Put it in your pocket'. This and the sale of alcohol was witnessed by a Surrey Police plain clothes officer.

Employee B details were taken and it was later agreed that a Community Resolution would be the most proportionate type of disposal as he had no previous offending history. He admitted to the offence and showed remorse. He accepted that no challenge was made. Stated that his mind was elsewhere and that he would usually challenge.
A Community Resolution is a means of resolving a minor offence or incident of anti-social behaviourwhere the person responsible has admitted their part in the incident. The aim is for offenders to understand the impact of their behaviour on others and to find a way to repait the harm caused.

Employee B stated that employee A that sold alcohol to underage persons on the 10th January 2026 no longer worked at the premises.

After the completion of the test purchase operation I was informed that the cadets informed the Surrey Police officers that were escorting them, that they felt intimidated by the group outside the premises.

(3)
On the 30th October 2026 at approximately 19:00 hours Surrey Police deployed two cadets on a test purchase operation. Trading Standards were also part of this operation

The two Surrey Police cadets were both male and one was aged 16 years old and the other 17 years old.

At 19:08 hours cadet 1 (A) came into the store and selected a red Buzzball alcoholic drink and went to the counter. The employee C did not ask for ID and accepted payment in cash for the alcohol and he left the store with the alcohol.. Police cadet 2 (B) entered the store whilst police cadet 1 (A) was still in the store and went to the counter and asked for a watermelon vape, employee C selected a watermelon vape from behind the counter and accepted cash payment for it and left the store with the vape. Both of these sales were witnessed by a Surrey Police officer in plain clothes. After the cadets had left the Surrey Police officer in plain clothes that witnessed the sales, entered the premises and showed employee C his warrant card and informed him that he witnessed the sales of alcohol and a vape to underage persons. Employee C responded that that he did not sell these to anyone, however he was then asked again by a Surrey Police uniformed officer with the assistance of the son of the Designated Premises Supervisor who spoke the same first language and then

stated that he did make the sales and did not ask for ID.
It was authorised by S that employee C was eligible for a Community Resolution.

conducted immigration checks and then employee C was arrested for immigration offences and taken to Staines Police Station. Immigration checks established that the suspect was an over stayer since 2023. Immigration dealt with employee C when he was arrested and taken to Staines Police Station. Surrey Police had no further dealings re the immigration offence but the custody record shows that he was released under immigration bail.
Staff at the premises were unable to produce docements to evidence that the Premises Licence Holder / Designated Premises Supervisor has conducted a Right to Work Check for employee C.

None of the three employees that made the sales of alcohol to underage persons during these test purchase operations in 2026 possessed a personal licence.
When employee C was taken by Surrey Police officers to Staines Police Station, employee B was contacted to fill in until the premises closed.

Police licensing officer reminded him of ensuring that he challenge anyone that appeared under 25 and that if he refuses the sale of alcohol to add it to the refusals book.
Police licensing officer also checked the training records in the store, there was no training record for employee C and no training record for employee B.
The Premises Licence has a condition re training records that states :
'The Licensee shall ensure that all staff will undertake training in their responsibilites in relation to the sale of alcohol, particulary with regard to drunkenness and underage persons. Records will be kept of training and refresher training'
There were no training records for employee B or C.

Whilst at the premies Police licensing officer checked the refusals register, this amounted to several loose pages, I signed the seven pages of refusals that were recorded for 2026. I suggested again that they use a bound book, with consecutively numbered pages,perhaps a diary.

As employee C was not able to converse with Surrey Police officers he made a call and the son of the Designated Premises Supervisor attended to translate. It a concern that if he cannot understand the questions that the officers asked how can he efficetively challenge persons that look under 25 when they purchase alcohol.

The licence summary was displayed and after the son of the DPS translated employee C was able to produce the Premises Licence. The CCTV was showing an incorrect time at 19:41 the monitor showed the time was 20:27.

The premises was very messy when we visited on the 30th October and it was not possible to walk aroung the shelves in the middle as they were blocked by a bag of parcels.

Failure by three different employees of the premises selling alcohol to underage persons without asking for any ID during test purchase operations in 2026 demonstrates persistent underage sales and no management control rather than a isolated mistake by a single employee.
It is also a grave concern that there was no training record at the premises to evidence that employee B had received training re selling alcohol to underage since the failed test purchase on the 21st August 2026.

The premises was unable to produce documents to evidence that the Premises Licence Holder / Designated Premises Supervisor had conducted a Right to Work Check for employee C.

The Licensing Committee will be aware of the extensive guidance issued under Section 182 of The Licensing Act 2003.

Section 11.27 0f the 182 guidance states: There is certain criminal activity that may arise in connection with licensed premises which should be treated particularly seriously. These are the use of the licensed premises:

for the illegal purchase and consumption of alcohol by minors which impacts on the health, educational attainment, employment prospects and propensity for crime of young people

Surrey Police request that the Licensing Sub-committee consider if the premises is being operated in a manner that promotes the licensing objectives. Despite being informed of the previous sales of alcohol to underage persons the Premises Licence Holder / Designated Premises Supervisor has not according to the training records produced on the 30th October 2026 trained employee B or C and there were no right to work checks for employee C.
The persistant selling of alcohol to underage and lack of records re training and right to work checks demonstrates poor management and it is felt by Surrey Police that no lesser step than revocation of the premises licence will suffice.

Please tick yes

Have you made an application for review relating to this premises before ☐

If yes please state the date of that application

Day Month Year

If you have made representations before relating to this premises please state what they were and when you made them

Please tick yes

- I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate ☐
- I understand that if I do not comply with the above requirements my application will be rejected ☐

IT IS AN OFFENCE, LIABLE ON CONVICTION TO A FINE UP TO LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD SCALE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION

Part 3 – Signatures (please read guidance note 3)

Signature of applicant or applicant's solicitor or other duly authorised agent (See guidance note 4). **If signing on behalf of the applicant please state in what capacity.**

Signature .....

Date 11/11/26

Capacity Delegated Officer on behalf of the Chief Constable

Contact name (where not previously given) and postal address for correspondence associated with this application (please read guidance note 5) Licensing Enforcement Officer, Staines Police Station, 22 Kingston Road	
Post town Staines upon Thames	**Post Code** TW18 4LQ
Telephone number (if any)	
If you would prefer us to correspond with you using an e-mail address your e-mail address (optional)	

Notes for Guidance

1. The ground(s) for review must be based on one of the licensing objectives.
2. Please list any additional information or details for example dates of problems which are included in the grounds for review if available.
3. The application form must be signed.
4. An applicant's agent (for example solicitor) may sign the form on their behalf provided that they have actual authority to do so.
5. This is the address which we shall use to correspond with you about this application.

Additional documents for Licensing review application for Sunny's Local, Stanwell

Index

1) Statement from Police Of who witnessed the sale of alcohol to the underage persons on the 10th January 2026.

2) Statement from Sg from the volunteering team re the cadets used in the test purchase operation on the 10th January 2026.

3) Statement from Polic e the court result for employee A who failed the test purchase on the 10th January 2026.

4) Letter from Licensing Of the Premises Licence Holder / Designated Premises Supervisor re the failed test purchase on the 10th January 2026.

5) Statement from Police C who witnessed the sale of alcohol to the underage persons on the 21st August 2026.

6) Letter from Licensing Officer to the Premises Licence Holder / Designated Premises Supervisor re the failed test purchase on the 21st August 2026.

7) Statement from Police Of who witnessed the sale of alcohol to the underage persons on the 30th October 2026.

OFFICIAL Sensitive (when complete)

MG11

POLICE STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

NICHE Ref No:
Statement of:

Age (if under 18): N/A

This statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Date: 10-01-2026

Signature of Witness:

This statement is in relation to a test purchase operation I was part of on SATURDAY THE 10TH OF JANUARY 2026. I was on duty in plain clothes as part of the Spelthorne Safer Neighbourhood Team.
This test purchase Operation involved underage persons entering licensed premises and trying to buy alcoholic drinks with myself acting as a witness to the incident. The idea is that this will test whether the cashier will challenge the underage persons and refuse to sell them the alcoholic drinks.
We had 2 underage persons' involved in this operation. The first who I shall refer to as CADET 1 I would describe as Male, white, Approximately 6ft, aged between 16-18 years old, short light brown hair, clean shaven, wearing a black puffer coat, light brown jacket, dark blue jeans, and white trainers.
The second who I shall refer to as CADET 2 I would describe as female, white, approximately 5ft6, aged between 16-18 years old, long dark hair, wearing a white puffer coat, light grey jeans, and white trainers.
I had it confirmed before this operation started that both CADET 1 and CADET 2 were under 18 years old.

On the above date at 1231 hours, I entered SUNNYS LOCAL, 63 CORINTHIAN WAY, STANWELL, TW19 7QP, and at 1233 hours CADET 1 has entered the store, taken a Blue Buzzball alcoholic cocktail drink and approached the till. I have been standing behind CADET 1 at the till. The cashier who I now know to be scanned the Buzzball alcoholic drink and asked for payment but did not challenge CADET 1 for ID. CADET 1 paid for the Buzzball alcoholic drink and left the store with it.

Then at 1235 hours on the same date, CADET 2 has entered the store and has selected a GORDONS Pink Gin and Tonic can and has approached the till. Again, the cashi has scanned the item and accepted payment without challenging for ID and CADET 2 then left the store with the gin and tonic can. I was behind CADET 2 in the queue for the till and witnessed the full incident.
On both occasions I was within ear shot and heard both CADETS say hello and him to reply to him.

Witness name:
Statement started:
Form ID:

OFFICIAL Sensitive (when complete)

OFFICIAL Sensitive (when complete)

MG11

Signature of Witness:

Date: 10-01-2026

Witness name
Statement started:
Form ID:

OFFICIAL Sensitive (when complete)

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9

URN

Occurrence Num

Statement of:

Age if under 18: Over 18 *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* Occupation:

This statement (consisting of page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Date: 07/07/2026 14:23

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐

I am the above named person, I have been a police officer with Surrey Police since 2008 and was promoted to Sergeant in September 2019. My current role is the operational sergeant based within the volunteering team which is based as MOUNT BROWNE SANDY LANE GUILDFORD. Part of my role is to oversee the Volunteer Police Cadet Units which operate within Surrey Police.

I can confirm that on the 10th JANUARY 2026 two Surrey Police Volunteer Police Cadets attended and assisted officers with a test purchasing operation that was taking place in the borough of SPELTHORNE . Two cadets were provided along with two adult cadet leaders for their safeguarding. The cadets would attended various locations and attempt to purchase items that are age control. The female cadet who I will call CADET ONE was aged seventeen years old at the time of the operation. I can confirm that the male cadet who I will call CADET TWO was aged sixteen at the time of the operation.

As Operational Sergeant I have access to our cadet platform called dutysheet. Dutysheet holds the personal data of all police cadets securely. On viewing that system I can confirm the data held on their for CADET ONE and CADET TWO confirms their ages as stated above.

I was not involved in the test purchase operation directly.

OFFICIAL Sensitive (When completed)

MG11

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9

URN

Occurrence Numbe

Statement of:

Age if under 18: Over 18 *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* Occupation: PC

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Date: 03/11/2026 13:24

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐

This is my statement in relation to my dealings with who was working as a shop assistant in SUNNY'S LOCAL OFF LICENCE, 63 CORINTHIAN WAY, STANWELL, TW19 7QP on 10/01/2026.

On 10/01/2026 I have been a part of a Surrey Police team who has conducted a TEST PURCHASE in this shop and has sold alcoholic drinks to two Police Cadets. One cadet purchased a BUZZ BALL ALCOHOLIC DRINK and the second cadet purchased a CAN OF GIN AND TONIC.

Several days following the sale of the alcohol, attended Staines Police Station with his solicitor and I have offered him a Community Resolution (CR), however he has refused to take responsibility for his action and declined the CR.

Several days after that he's attended a Voluntary Interview in which he's answered NO COMMENT to all of my questions.

The case was sent to the CPS an was charged with the offence of SELL ALCOHOL TO A PERSON AGED UNDER 18.

attended Court on 22/09/2026 and pleaded GUILTY.

He was given the following:

Costs to Crown Prosecution Service To pay costs of £85.00 to the Crown Prosecution Service.

Defendant's details changed.

Surcharge To pay a surcharge of £26.00

Collection order Collection order made. Make payments as ordered.

Payment terms - Instalments only Payment terms - Instalments only. £20.00 to be paid monthly. Instalment start date: 30/10/2026.

Conditional discharge Discharged conditionally for 12 Months

Our ref: 11599

Mr Manmohan Singh Arora
Sunny's Local
63 Clare Road
Stanwell
TW19 7QW

Licensing Enforcement Officer
13 January 2026

Dear Mr Arora,

Surrey Police carried out a test purchasing exercise in the Borough of Spelthorne on the 10th of January 2026.

Your premises, licence number 20/00029/LAPRE for Sunny's Local, 63 Clare Road, Stanwell, TW19 7QW was one of the locations that was test purchased for the sale of alcohol to under 18's. The staff member working at the premises at the time of the exercise, sold alcohol to two persons under the age of 18. Therefore, this visit has been recorded as a failure.

Your staff member sold alcohol to two persons under the age of 18 and did not challenge them for ID. Please ensure that all your staff receive refresher training re the importance of checking ID when someone under the age of 18 purchases alcohol.

Surrey Police, Trading Standards and Spelthorne Borough Council licensing departments are committed in their efforts to try and stop underage alcohol sales in the Borough of Spelthorne.

Yours sincerely

Email

MG11

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9

URN

Occurrence Nu

Statement of: !

Age if under 18: Over 18 *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* Occupation: !

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Date: 21/08/2026 22:59

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐

This is my statement in relation to a an incident of a SALE OF ALCOHOL TO AN INDIVIDUAL AGED UNDER 18 which I've witnesses at approximately 1710 HRS on 21/08/2026 in SUNNYS LOCAL, 63 CORINTHIAN WAY, STANWELL, SURREY, TW19 7QP

On 21/08/2026 at approximately 1705 HRS, whilst on duty in plain clothes, I have entered SUNNYS LOCAL, 63 CORINTHIAN WAY, STANWELL, SURREY, TW19 7QP.

My presence there was due to an operation called TEST PURCHASE, which was arranged by Licencing Officer and Police, whereby two Police Cadets have entered the shop approximately one minute after me and they have made a purchase of ALCOHOLIC DRINKS, which I have witnessed.

Both Cadets were 16 years old and their age has been confirmed prior to the operation.

Cadet 1 is a white female, about 5'8" tall, medium build, blond hair, wearing a dark grey tracksuit bottoms and a grey top. Her top was being worn in a specific way, wherey it was hanging off one of her shoulders making her shoulder visible.

Cadet 2 is a white female, about 5'6" tall, medium build, dark blond hair, wearing white trousers, brown top with a black jacket on top of it.

I was next to both of the Cadets by the counter of the shop when they've purchased two items: A CAN OF MANGO MOHITO and SMIFNOFF VODKA CRANBERRY. I saw Cadets select the drinks and then place them on the shop's counter in front of a shop assistant, who then scanned the items and stated the price. He did not ask how old they were. Did not ask for any proof of ID. Did not challenge them at all. Cadet I handed the money over and they both proceeded to head towards the only shop's door, which is just by the shop's counter. As soon as they've turned to walk out of the door, I've heard the shop assistant shouting to them PUT IT IN YOUR POCKET. I have then purchased a packet of biscuits and left the store.

The male that sold it to them was an Asian male, medium build, about 5'8", in his late 20's, wearing a turban head covering, and a black jacket with coloured patterns, who I now know to be

Our ref: 11599

Mr Manmohan Singh Arora
Sunny's Local
63 Clare Road
Stanwell
TW19 7QW

Licensing Enforcement Officer
26th August 2026

Dear Mr Arora ,

Surrey Police carried out a test purchasing exercise in the Borough of Spelthorne on the 21st of August 2026.

Your premises, licence number 20/00029/LAPRE for Sunny's Local, 63 Clare Road, Stanwell, TW19 7QW was one of the locations that was test purchased for the sale of alcohol to under 18's. The staff member working at the premises at the time of the exercise, sold alcohol to two persons under the age of 18. Therefore, this visit has been recorded as another failure.

Your staff member sold alcohol to two persons under the age of 18 and did not challenge them for ID. Please ensure that all your staff receive refresher training re the importance of checking ID when someone under the age of 18 purchases alcohol.

This is the second time this year your premises has failed a test purchase exercise by selling alcohol to persons under eighteen without asking for ID.

Section 11.27 of the guidance for the Licensing Act 2003 states: there is certain criminal activity that may arise in connection with licensed premises which should be treated particularly seriously. One of these being as follows

- for the illegal purchase and consumption of alcohol by minors which impacts on the health, educational attainment, employment prospects and propensity for crime of young people.

Please ensure that your staff are reminded about the importance of checking ID for persons under 25. If we conduct another test purchase at your premises and your staff sell alcohol to persons under 18, please consider that applying for a review of the premises is something we will consider as this is a serious breach of the licensing objectives.

MG11

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 16.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9

URN

Occurrence Number

Statement of:

Age if under 18: Over 18 *(if over 18 insert 'over 18')* Occupation: Police Constable

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Date: 30/10/2026 22:43

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐

This statement is in relation to my involvement in a test purchase op that took place in the Surrey borough of Spelthorne on Friday the 30th of October 2026. I was on duty parading out of Staines Police Station, in plain clothes. The purpose of the operation was to test locations for selling alcohol or vapes to underage persons. For this we operated with 2 police cadets, who shall be referred to as Cadet A and Cadet B from now on, they went into the stores and tried to buy alcohol or vapes despite being underage, to see if the stores and the workers were correctly operating under the licensing act.

My role in the operation was as a witness, this meant that I went into the shops before the cadets and watched and listened to their conversations with the store workers to see if they were sold alcohol of vapes.

At approximately 1908 hours I have entered Sunny's Local, 63 Clare Road, Stanwell, TW19 7QW, and have positioned myself by the wine rack to the left of the counter of the store. I have waited there, and approximately 20 seconds later, Cadet A has come into the store and has selected a red Buzzball alcoholic drink and gone to the till, the shop worker has not asked for ID and has accepted payment for the Buzzball and let Cadet A leave the store. Cadet B has entered the store after Cadet A, but before Cadet A left. They went to the counter and asked for a "watermelon Vape", the shop worker has selected a watermelon vape from behind the counter and accepted payment for it from Cadet B and let them leave the store with the vape. I have then left the store shortly afterwards, and notified my colleagues who were in uniform of the successful purchase and the and PC have attended the location, I went back into the store with them, and confronted the shop worker, I showed him my warrant card and told him that less than 5 minutes ago, he sold an alcoholic drink and a vape to 2 teenagers that were under 18. The shop worker responded that he did not sell

these to anyone. However, he was then asked again by with the assistance of one of the shop keepers who spoke the same first language and then stated that he did make the sales and did not ask for ID.

spoke with immigration enforcement and after a conversation with them has requested that I call Staines Custody to inform them that the shop worker would be being arrested for immigration offences and ask if they had space to accept them. They stated that they did, I informed . of this, who then arrested the male. I left the store before the arrest was made and have at the time of this statement had no further dealings in this incident.

The part after the test purchase has been captured on and . BWV cameras.